

SWRAWP
Minutes of the RTS Sub Committee
3rd August 2005

<u>Present:</u> -	Action: -
<p>M Hooker, Bridgend County Borough Council S Bool, Bridgend County Borough Council A Wilkes, Environment Agency Wales R Favager, Environment Agency Wales R Amundson, Caerphilly County Borough Council S Martin, Welsh Assembly Government C A Williams, Pembrokeshire County Council / National Park Authority M Lucas, Vale of Glamorgan Council K Maddock-Jones, Country Council Wales M Frampton, QPA T Gilman, BAA</p>	
<p>1. <u>Apologies</u></p>	
<p>A Bull, Powys County Council N Morgan, Neath Port Talbot County Borough Council</p>	
<p>2. <u>Minutes of the last meeting – 4th July 2005</u></p>	
<p>The attendance list was revised to include Karen Maddock-Jones.</p>	
<p><u>Item 5</u> – Discussion took place regarding the nature / contents of lists 1 / 2. It was agreed that SB discuss with MPA’s how far they have got with the MTAN 1 list. This will cover all sites not just QPA. SM to write to MPA’s reminding them of the requirements of MTAN 1.</p>	<p>SB SM</p>
<p>It was agreed SM would circulate an executive summary of the Smiths Gore report on construction, demolition and quarry waste.</p>	<p>SM</p>
<p>SM confirmed any future construction and demolition waste surveys would be funded out of the WAG core budget (2 yearly survey interval)</p>	
<p><u>Page 3</u> (second paragraph) – SB was still unable to confirm the issue of whether or not mixed primary / secondary aggregates was exempt from the Aggregates Levy.</p>	<p>SB/All</p>
<p><u>Item 27</u> – The reference to the GPDO covers <u>fixed</u> plant only under Class B of Part 19.</p>	
<p><u>Item 42</u> – It was confirmed MH not SM made reference to Dr Ian Mathews regarding information on health impact assessments.</p>	

<p>MF questioned the absence of a framework / methodology for the RTS process. It was agreed that an introduction / foreword be added focussing on (i) the composition of the supply of aggregates and (ii) the availability and suitability of primary aggregates to meet the supply; (iii) explanation of the process.</p> <p>It was agreed that the draft foreword for the issues paper be forwarded to the RTS sub committee members.</p>	<p>SB</p>
<p>5. <u>Public consultation exercise – draft programme</u></p>	
<p>It was agreed that the issues paper needs to describe the difference between IMAECA / EMAADS and provide a link to the website (executive summary). Reference should also be made to the MTAN aggregate figure and why it is thought there is such demand. It was acknowledged the figure of 5 tonnes per capita referred to in the Arup report needed to be examined further before inclusion in the report.</p>	<p>SB</p>
<p>The level of involvement for the consultation exercise was suggested to be the same as for the MTAN 1.</p>	<p>SB</p>
<p>SEA – estimates for consultants to carry this out will be pursued by SB. The regulations will be checked to determine the steps / process that need to be taken.</p>	
<p>The period of 2 weeks for the analysis of the response to the consultation exercise was questioned. It was assumed this would be a minimum of 4 weeks.</p>	
<p>It was agreed the audience for the public consultation exercise ought to be to unitary authorities and <u>not</u> community council level.</p>	
<p>The benefits of setting up a SWRAWP website were discussed and SB agreed to examine the cost / provision.</p>	<p>SB MH</p>
<p>MH confirmed that RJ be approached with a view to drafting the foreword in Welsh, as well as English.</p>	
<p>The need for forums was questioned and in view of limited staff resources it was agreed that consideration of the need for these be deferred pending the responses received as part of the consultation exercise.</p>	
<p>MH suggested that any response / comment document ought to be free-worded rather than include questions.</p>	<p>SB</p>
<p>It was noted the draft issues paper needed paragraph numbers.</p>	
<p>TG very kindly offered to fund the cost of the capital cost of the SWRAWP website. SB / MH to discuss further.</p>	<p>SB / MH</p>

<p>6. <u>IMAECA protocol</u></p> <p>It was agreed that a uniform weighting of 1 be imposed as part of the protocol. This will be reported to the next SWRAWP meeting. The difficulty of arriving at a consensus on the issue of the weighting was noted but WAG had to take a pragmatic decision.</p> <p>It was agreed SB would circulate the protocol with the minutes once it had been amended by SM.</p> <p>SM invited all present who were interested in seeing the IMAECA tool, in particular the differences generated by the different weightings, to the WAG offices. Date to be arranged.</p> <p>7. <u>AOB</u></p> <p>(i) ODPM – Planning Policy for Minerals.</p> <p>Consultation on annexes including the provision of aggregates.</p> <p>SM confirmed this had been issued recently and some of the ‘new’ initiatives in MTAN 1 had been introduced into the consultation document. Of particular note, was the 10 year landbank for crushed rock.</p> <p>8. <u>Date of next meeting</u></p> <p>To be arranged in October / November 2005, at the Innovation Centre, Bridgend.</p>	<p>SB / SM</p> <p>SM</p>
----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	----------------------------------------